NMR Crystallography of Mixed-Metal Phosphates

Daniel Dawson

University of St Andrews

NMR Crystallography

Imagine a periodic crystalline sample...

• A crystallographer would describe this in terms of the periodic repeat unit

• Crystal structures allow measurement of distances and angles to high precision (according to crystallographers)

NMR Crystallography

But we know many samples contain disorder (motion, different orientations, different ions on the same site, different sites for the same ion...)

NMR spectroscopy only shows element specific local details

• NMR crystallography seeks to connect the two, often via computation, to provide a richer picture of the structure-disorder-property relationships necessary to understand the material

Metallophosphates

- Charge-neutral MPO₄ framework (M = AI, Ga) with alternating MO₄ and PO₄ tetrahedra
- Topology is guided by cationic structure-directing agents (SDAs)
- Charge of the SDA is balanced by OH or F anions bound to M, giving 5- or 6-coordinate sites
- Calcination removes SDA and anions; for GaPOs the calcined framework may be unstable

AlPO-34

- Started with CHA type AlPO-34 as a simple model system
- Thermodynamically quite stable so can form with several SDAs
- Attempting to understand this templating effect
- NMR spectra agree with crystal structures three P sites, three Al

J. Phys. Chem. C, **2017**, *121*, 1781.

AlPO-34

- Some crystal structures show disorder
- Static or dynamic disorder?
- In NMR, motion on the timescale τ_c interferes with interactions of magnitude $\omega \approx 1/\tau_c$ so that maximum interference (broadening) at $\omega \tau_c \approx 1$
- ²⁷Al satellite transitions are MHz wide so we don't normally look at them; here we see variation in linewidth with T

GaPO-34

- The Ga analogue, GaPO-34 displays a similar templating effect (mim or pyr SDAs)
- Very hard to make pure samples

• Two common impurities, $GaPO₄$ berlinite (quartz type) and unidentified phase, "GaPO-34A"

• The key to preparing single-phase samples is gel ageing time before heating

- When we teach students to interpret NMR data step one is "how many peaks?"
- Step two is "where are the peaks?", which is a bit more useful
- Step three, "how much of each peak?" doesn't work well without knowing the answer to step one...
- Rough integrals give 6-ish P and 7-ish Ga
	- Makes no sense for a GaPO₄ material
	- Agrees roughly with elemental analysis

- Early crystal structure didn't make much sense either
	- the POOP structure
- Range of 2D NMR spectra gave us little snippets of information but still didn't really understand what was going on

Inorg. Chem., **2020**, *59*, 11616.

- Elemental analysis gives a formula of $Ga_7P_6O_{24}$ 2(OH) \cdot 3F \cdot 2(H₂O) \cdot 2R
- GaPO-34A forms small crystals so structure determination needed synchrotron diffraction
- Framework topology is the same as DIPYR-GaPO, but very distorted
- Contents of the unit cell are very disordered
	- fractional occupancy
	- positional disorder
	- variable coordination number

- I'm going to skip the full story and give you some edited highlights...
- Five anions distributed across six sites in $Ga_7P_6O_{24}$ 2(OH) 3F 2(H₂O) 2R
- Sites 1-4 always occupied, one of 5 and 6 occupied
- Three signals in 19 F NMR spectrum
- Some F/OH ordering?

- Generated an ensemble of structures from OOOOO to FFFFF
- Used DFT to calculate the relative energies of different anion configurations
- F on sites 1, 2 and 3 is strongly favoured
- Calculated ¹⁹F shifts agree with experiment

- O-H…O hydrogen bonding explains unfavourability of fluorinating sites 4 and 5
- OH on 3 and 5 compete to hydrogen bond to the same framework O
- O5H…O is more favourable than $O3H...O$ (so F3 is better than F5)
- Fluorinating site 4 results in loss of stable O4H…O hydrogen bond, so is strongly disfavoured

Inorg. Chem., **2020**, *59*, 11616.

Dynamics in GaPO-34A

- Variable-temperature NMR spectra show changes
- Perhaps exchange between different orientations of the SDA
- Possibly H₂O motion (reorientation or bulk movement)
- Explains why F1 and F2 have much shorter T_1 relaxation times than F3 (which is hidden away from the SDA)
- Perhaps explains poor agreement between experimental and calculated ³¹P spectra

Inorg. Chem., **2020**, *59*, 11616.

GaPO-34A Conclusions

• Very complicated material

- hard to make pure (kinetic product)
- dynamic disorder of the SDA and water
- occupational disorder of the anions (occupancy of site 5 or 6?)
- compositional disorder of the anions (OH or F?)
- No wonder it doesn't form large single crystals!
- Neither NMR nor diffraction can tell the whole story on their own
- We can get a surprising amount of detail about the underlying principles of what's going on in the structure by using an NMR crystallographic approach

- Combined another project on mixed metal oxides for catalysts/catalyst supports with ongoing work on phosphates
- Mixing metals in materials often leads to a combination of their properties
- A good model system with NMR-friendly nuclei to develop NMR crystallographic methods
- NMR of the oxides showed a preference for octahedral Al and tetrahedral Ga

• NMR spectra are fairly straightforward to interpret

- Even more extreme preference for octahedral Al and tetrahedral Ga here
- More ionic MO_4F_2 here rather than MO_6 in oxides
- $19F$ intensities can be used to probe M-F-M linkages but show no preference for clustered Al-F-Al/Ga-F-Ga or mixed Al-F-Ga

- At first glance, $31P$ lineshapes look like the ²⁹Si signals for zeolites with $Si(nAI)$, $0 \le n \le 4$
- However, there aren't enough peaks for that for P1
- We can predict intensities for each P(*n*Al) signal
- Good agreement with experiment when we combine intensities for P(0-2Al)

- DFT predicts reasonably consistent steps in ³¹P shift for each extra NNN Al
- Are we seeing all of the structures?
- Convex hull shows a preference to maximise the number of octahedral Al
- Agreement with experiment is much better when considering only lowest energy structures

- Looking at the optimised (not experimental) local structure, we can see that the P-O bond length changes very slightly (~0.01 Å) but the mean P-O-M angle is the same for P1(0-2Al)
- We have previously shown for AlPOs that the ³¹P shift is sensitive to both the mean P-O length and the mean P-O-Al angle
- Atomic arrangement is driven by octahedral site occupancy but NMR shifts are driven by tetrahedral site occupancy, which has a bigger effect on the structure!

- The SDA was refined in two different orientations in the AlPO and GaPO end members
- ¹³C CP MAS shows small changes but masked by broadening
- Is there a fundamental reason for this difference or just different static snapshots of a dynamic molecule?

- Again, hydrogen bonding seems to drive local arrangement of molecules in the pores
- Different framework O involved in hydrogen bonding if water is present/absent
- We'd expect dynamics but haven't confirmed that yet
- Demonstration of how small molecules may interact with the pore wall during catalysis and how subtle changes in charge on O may affect this

- Calcination causes increase in symmetry from *P*-1 to *R*-3
- Single P site, which will have an environment that is a superposition of the environments from P1, P2 and P3 from before
- This time the spectra look like there is a random distribution of cations even though we know that's not where we started
- Predictions for the starting arrangement of cations also agree well

Conclusions

- NMR alone isn't enough to understand the most complicated of materials. Crystallography on its own isn't very useful for this either
- By combining the two and linking them via DFT, it is possible to get surprisingly detailed insight into the physical phenomena behind *why* structures behave the way they do
- Disorder isn't always random
	- F/OH disorder in GaPO-34A has favourable hydrogen bonding arrangements
	- Ga/Al disorder in AlGaPO-34 favours octahedral Al but not exclusively
	- still working on the dynamic disorder!

Acknowledgments

St Andrews

- o Sharon Ashbrook
- o John Griffin (now at Lancaster)
- o Dave McKay
- o Joe Hooper
- o Laurie Macfarlane

Glasgow

- o Steve Wimperis
- o Teresa Kurkiewicz

Institut Lavoisier (Versailles)

o Nathalie Guillou

Warwick

- **Richard Walton**
- o Mahrez Amri
- o Dan Cook
- o Lucy McLeod (née Broom)
- o Laurie Macfarlane
- o Jasmine Clayton
- o Tom Marshall

High-Field NMR

- Dinu Iuga and Trent Franks (UK NRF)
- Zhehong Gan and Ivan Hung (NHMFL)